

Fig. 2. Atom-labelling scheme and 50% probability ellipsoids for complex *B*.

Regarding the partial presence of Cl attached to C(15) in A and B, we note that in the syntheses the source of the metal is ruthenium trichloride, which can conceivably act as a chlorinating agent like its congener ferric chloride (De La Mare & Ridd, 1959). However, the origin of the specificity of chlorine substitution at C(15) is unclear at present.

The authors thank Professor S. Ray for many helpful discussions, and Professor F. A. Cotton and Dr A. R. Chakravarty for the *ORTEP* drawings. Financial support from the Department of Science and Technology and the Council of Scientific and Industrial

Research, Government of India, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- CHAKRAVARTY, A. R., CHAKRAVORTY, A., COTTON, F. A., FALVELLO, L. R., GHOSH, B. K. & LISBONA, M. T. (1983). *Inorg. Chem.* 22, 1892–1896.
- CLARK, G. R., WATERS, J. M. & WHITTLE, K. R. (1975). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2556-2560.
- DE LA MARE, P. B. D. & RIDD, J. H. (1959). Aromatic Substitution, Nitration and Halogenation, p. 105. London: Butterworths.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- JAMESON, G. B., MUSTER, S., ROBINSON, S. D., WINGFIELD, J. N. & IBERS, J. A. (1981). *Inorg. Chem.* **20**, 2448–2456.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- McGuiggan, M. F. & Pignolet, L. H. (1982). Inorg. Chem. 21, 2523–2526.
- MAIN, P., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1978). MULTAN78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- NARDELLI, M. (1982). PARST. A System of Computer Routines for Calculating Molecular Parameters from Results of Crystal Structure Analyses. Univ. of Parma, Italy.
- SEAL, A. & RAY, S. (1981). Indian J. Phys. 55A, 414-416.
- SEAL, A. & RAY, S. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 929-932.
- SIM, G. A. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 511-512.
- VICKERY, B. L., BRIGHT, D. & MALLINSON, P. R. (1971). XRAY ARC. IBM 1130 program system for crystallography modified for the Burroughs B6700 computer. Agricultural Research Council, London.

Acta Cryst. (1986). C42, 796–798

Structure of Tetrameric η -Cyclopentadienyl Chromium Sulfide

BY CHEN WEI* AND LAI-YOONG GOH

Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

AND ROBERT F. BRYAN AND EKKEHARD SINN*

Department of Chemistry, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22901, USA

(Received 5 June 1985; accepted 7 January 1986)

Abstract. Tetrakis (η -cyclopentadienyl)tetra- μ_3 -thiotetrachromium(III), [Cr₄S₄(C₅H₅)₄], $M_r = 596 \cdot 64$, C2/c, $a = 17 \cdot 582$ (8), $b = 8 \cdot 045$ (3), $c = 16 \cdot 137$ (8) Å, $\beta = 116 \cdot 5$ (4)°, V = 2043 (7) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x =$ $1 \cdot 939$ g cm⁻³, Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation, $\lambda =$ $1 \cdot 54178$ Å, $\mu = 216$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1200, T = 293 K, $R = 5 \cdot 1\%$, 1560 unique reflections. The cubane struc-

ture of the tetramer can be viewed as being formed from two interpenetrating tetrahedra of chromium and sulfur atoms with each chromium coordinated to three other chromium atoms, three sulfur atoms and one η^{5} cyclopentadienyl ring. The bond lengths and angles of the cyclopentadienyl rings are normal.

Introduction. Transition-metal-sulfur cubane systems are of continuing interest on account of their biological

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

0108-2701/86/070796-03\$01.50

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography

C(2 C(2

and biochemical relevance (Vahrenkamp, 1975; Garner, 1980). During our studies on organo-chromiumsulfur chemistry (Goh, Hambley & Robertson, 1983), we found that thermolysis of $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)_2Cr_2(CO)_4S$ and $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}Cr_{2}(CO)_{5}S_{2}$ in refluxing toluene gave $[(\eta^{5} C_{s}H_{s}CrS_{4}$ as the main product. Although this compound has been referred to on several occasions (Vahrenkamp, 1975; Garner, 1980), there has been only spectral inference on its structure (Ulm, 1961) and no X-ray diffraction analysis has been performed (Fischer, 1984). We therefore decided to solve its structure, in order to make comparisons with analogous transition-metal cubanes.

Experimental. Slow cooling of the product solution from the thermolysis of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Cr_2(CO)_5S_2$ followed by standing for 3 d at room temperature gave black rectangular prismatic crystals of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)_4 Cr_4 S_4$. Selected crystal $[0.075 \times 0.35 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}; \text{ faces (100)},$ $(\overline{100})$, (010), $(0\overline{10})$, (001) and $(00\overline{1})$] mounted on Nicolet P3m diffractometer; 15 independent reflections, well separated in reciprocal space, computer centred to obtain orientation matrix and refine accurate cell parameters; θ -2 θ scan technique (Nicolet, 1980); 1560 unique reflections measured for $h, k, l \ 0$ to 19, 0 to 8 and -17 to 17 respectively; intensities of three standard reflections showed no significant crystal decay during entire data collection; raw intensity data corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and absorption (max., min. transmission coefficients 0.69, 0.59) (Freyberg, Mockler & Sinn, 1976); 1234 reflections with $F_{\rho}^{2} >$ $3\sigma(F_o^2)$, $\sigma(F_o^2)$ estimated from counting statistics (Corfield, Doedens & Ibers, 1967); systematic absences for hkl data with h+k = 2n + 1 and h0l with l = 2n + 1 indicated space groups Cc or C2/c; centrosymmetric C2/c shown to be correct choice by interpretation of Patterson vectors and by successful refinement of crystal structure; coordinates of two symmetrically independent chromium atoms from Paterson synthesis, which phased data sufficiently well to permit location of remaining nonhydrogen atoms from Fourier synthesis; full-matrix least-squares refinement based on F on PDP-11/45 computer (Freyberg et al., 1976);* $w = [2F_o/(F_o^2)]^2$; anisotropic temperature factors introduced for nonhydrogen atoms; further difference Fourier functions permitted location of all hydrogen atoms, included in refinement for four cycles of least squares then held fixed; goodness of fit = 3.4, max. least-squares shift/e.s.d. = 0.04 in final cycle; model converged with $R = 5 \cdot 1$ and $wR = 6 \cdot 3\%$ for 127 parameters; final difference Fourier map showed densities < 0.06 e Å⁻³ near chromium atoms; atomic

scattering factors for all atoms from Cromer & Waber (1974), effects of anomalous dispersion for nonhydrogen atoms included in F_c using Cromer & Ibers (1974).*

797

Discussion. Table 1 lists the atomic coordinates and their equivalent isotropic thermal parameters. Table 2 shows the intramolecular bond distances and angles. Figs. 1 and 2 give stereoviews of the single molecule and the packing diagram respectively. Each tetramer is situated on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis and can be viewed as formed from two interpenetrating tetrahedra, one made of two symmetrically independent chromium atoms and the other of sulfur atoms. Each

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom parameters and full lists of bond lengths and angles have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42798 (10 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 1. Atomic coordinates and their equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ($\times 10^3 \text{ Å}^2$)

	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}^*
Cr(1)	0.06952 (8)	0.1759 (2)	0.22661 (9)	22
Cr(2)	0.06192 (9)	0.4265 (2)	0.34472 (10)	23
S(1)	0.0804 (1)	0.4536 (3)	0.2154 (2)	27
S(2)	0.0708 (1)	0.1485 (3)	0.3668 (1)	25
C(11)	0.1791 (6)	0.001 (1)	0.2824 (7)	35
C(12)	0.1132 (6)	-0.077 (2)	0.2089 (8)	48
C(13)	0.1005 (7)	0.025 (2)	0.1306 (7)	80
C(14)	0.1576 (7)	0.158 (2)	0.1615 (8)	57
C(15)	0.2037 (6)	0.136 (2)	0.2518 (7)	42
C(21)	0.1008 (7)	0.679 (2)	0-4049 (7)	42
C(22)	0.1716 (6)	0.607 (2)	0.4079 (7)	38
C(23)	0.1895 (7)	0.467 (2)	0.4648 (8)	49
C(24)	0.1277 (9)	0.461 (2)	0.4981 (7)	57
C(25)	0.0728 (7)	0.593 (2)	0.4604 (7)	44

* U_{eq} is defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

Table 2. Interatomic bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for the Cr_4S_4 cubane structure

Cr(1) Cr(1)	Cr(1 ⁱ) Cr(2 ⁱ)	2·862 (2·891 ((5) (6)	Cr(1) Cr(2)	Cr(2) Cr(2 ⁱ)	2.818 2.854	(3) (14)
Cr(1)	sú	2.256	3)	Cr(1)	S(2)	2.263	(3)
Cr(1)	S(2 ⁱ)	2.256	10)	Cr(2)	$\hat{\mathbf{S}}(1)$	2.260	(4)
Cr(2)	S(1 ⁱ)	2.255	7)	Cr(2)	S(2)	2.259	a)
S(I)	S(2)	3.521 (4)	S(1)	S(1)	3.472	(7)
sú	S(2 ⁱ)	3.422 (7)	S(2)	S(2 ¹)	3.469	(17)
5(1)	0(2)	0.22		0(2)	0(2)	0 .07	()
Cr(1 ⁱ)	Cr(1)	Cr(2)	61.2(1)	Cr(1 ⁱ)	Cr(2)	Cr(2 ⁱ)	58.7(1)
Cr(2)	Cr(1)	Cr(2 ⁱ)	60.0 (1)	Cr(1)	Cr(2)	$Cr(1^i)$	60.2(1)
Cr(1)	Cr(2)	Cr(2 ⁱ)	61.3 (1)	Cr(1 ⁱ)	Cr(2)	Cr(2 ⁱ)	58.7(1)
S(1)	Cr(1)	S(2)	102.3 (2)	S(1)	Cr(1)	S(2 ⁱ)	98.6 (2)
S(2)	Cr(1)	S(2 ⁱ)	100.3 (4)	S(1)	Cr(2)	S(1 ¹)	100.4(3)
S(1)	Cr(2)	S(2)	102.3 (3)	S(1 ⁱ)	Cr(2)	S(2)	98.6 (3)
Cr(1)	S(Ì)	Cr(2)	77.2 (2)	Cr(1)	S(1)	$Cr(2^i)$	79.7 (2)
Cr(2)	S(1)	$Cr(2^{i})$	78.4 (4)	Cr(1)	S(2)	Cr(1)	78.6 (3)
Cr(1)	S(2)	Cr(2)	77.1(2)	$Cr(1^i)$	S(2)	Cr(2)	79.6 (2)
S(1)	S(1)	S(2)	58.6 (4)	S(2 ⁱ)	súí	S(2)	59.9 (4)
S(2')	S(I)	$S(1^i)$	61.4 (4)	S(1 ⁱ)	S(2)	S(1)	60.0 (4)
S(2 ⁱ)	S(2)	S(1)	58.6 (4)	S(2 ¹)	S(2)	S(1 ⁵)	61.4 (4)
			/		/		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y, $\frac{1}{2}-z$.

^{*} REFINE is used for least-squares refinement, MAP for Fourier, Patterson and related functions, UNICEL for unit-cell refinement, FIN for final Fourier, F lists etc., TABLE for tabulations of atom parameters, bond lengths and angles, ABSN for absorption correction.



Fig. 1. Stereoview of the title compound with atom labels. The shaded spheres represent the metal atoms.



Fig. 2. The packing diagram of the unit cell. The shaded spheres represent the metal atoms.

	Fable 3. C	Comparison (of	bond i	lengti	hs a	nd	angl	es
--	------------	--------------	----	--------	--------	------	----	------	----

	Cr	Fe*	Cot
Covalent radius (Å)	1.18	1.17	1.16
Number of metal-metal			
bonds per metal atom	3	1	0
Metal tetrahedron			
$M - M(\dot{A})$	2.857 (14)§	2.650 (6)	3.298 (2)§
. ,		3.363 (16)‡	
Range (Å)	2.818-2.891	2.650-3.372	3.236-3.343
$M - M - M(\circ)$	60.0 (1)	60.0 (1)	60.0 (1)
Range (°)	58.7-61.3	46.3-67.0	58.2-61.5
Sulfur tetrahedron			
S–S(Å)	3.471 (17)§	3.334 (9)‡	2.990 (3)§
		2.883 (16)§	
Range (Å)	3.422-3.521	2.874-3.334	2.950-3.048
S-S-S(°)	60.0 (4)	60.0 (4)	60.0 (4)
Range (°)	58.6-61.4	54.4-70.8	58.6-61.8
$S-M(\dot{A})$	2.258 (10)	2.219 (11)	2.230 (2)
Range (Å)	2.255-2.263	2.198-2.252	2.226-2.234
S-M-S(°)	100.4 (4)	86.5 (4)	84.34 (6)
Range (°)	98.6-102.3	80.5-98.4	82.84-86.22
$M-S-M(\circ)$	78-4 (4)	90.0 (4)	95-31 (4)
Range (°)	77.1-79.7	73.7-98.2	92.86-97.23
Space group	C2/c	C2/c	C2/c
a(Å)	17.582 (8)	18.80(3)	18.014 (6)
$b(\mathbf{A})$	8.045 (3)	7.68(1)	7.974 (2)
$c(\dot{A})$	16-137 (8)	15.07 (3)	15.736 (4)
$\beta(\circ)$	116.5 (4)	109° 5' (20')	115-281 (9)

* Wei, Wilkes, Treichel & Dahl (1966).

† Simon & Dahl (1973).

‡ Non-bonded distances.

§ Average values.



Fig. 3. Structures of M_4S_4 nuclei in the cubane complexes $[(C_5H_5)MS]_4$. The shaded spheres represent the metal atoms.

chromium atom is coordinated to three sulfur atoms, three other chromium atoms and a cyclopentadienyl ring as shown in Fig. 1. The cubane structure is distorted as a result of the difference in the sizes of the two tetrahedra. In the cobalt analogue (Simon & Dahl, 1973), no metal-metal bond is necessary to fulfil the 18-electron requirement of the cobalt atom (Vahrenkamp, 1975), the two tetrahedra are closer in size and consequently the cubane framework is only slightly distorted. In the iron analogue, however, there is one electron too few for each iron atom; this is compensated by the formation of one metal-metal bond per iron atom, a total of two iron-iron bonds in the cubane, and electron balance is thus restored. Although the sizes of the sulfur and iron tetrahedra are fairly close, the irregular iron tetrahedron causes a deformation of the overall cubane structure. A comparison of bond distances and angles is summarized in Table 3 and illustrated in Fig. 3.

The authors thank the University of Malaya and the National Science Foundation (NSF Grant CHE 83-00516) for financial support.

References

- Corfield, P. W. R., DOEDENS, R. J. & IBERS, J. A. (1967). *Inorg. Chem.* 6, 197–204.
- CROMER, D. T. & IBERS, J. A. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- FISCHER, E. O. (1984). Private communication.
- FREYBERG, D. P., MOCKLER, G. M. & SINN, E. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 447–454.
- GARNER, C. D. (1980). In *Transition Metal Clusters*, edited by B. F. G. JOHNSON, p. 265. London: John Wiley.
- GOH, L. Y., HAMBLEY, T. W. & ROBERTSON, G. B. (1983). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1458-1460.
- Nicolet (1980). *Nicolet P3/R3 Data Collection Manual*, edited by J. C. CALABRESE. Nicolet XRD Corp., Cupertino, CA.
- SIMON, G. L. & DAHL, L. F. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 2164–2174.
- ULM, K. (1961). Dissertation, Univ. München.
- VAHRENKAMP, H. (1975). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 14, 322–329.
- WEI, C. H., WILKES, G. R., TREICHEL, P. M. & DAHL, L. F. (1966). Inorg. Chem. 5, 900–905.